

Meyer Thomas (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2736-7350) Boentert Matthias (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-6133-1397)

**Title:** Neurofilament light chain response during therapy with antisense oligonucleotide Tofersen in SOD1-related ALS – treatment experience in clinical practice.

# Running title: Tofersen in SOD1-ALS

**Authors:** Thomas Meyer MD (1,2), Peggy Schumann MSc (2), Patrick Weydt MD (3,4), Susanne Petri MD (5), Yasemin Koc (1), Susanne Spittel PhD (2), Sarah Bernsen MD (1,3), René Günther MD (6, 7), Jochen H. Weishaupt MD (8), Marie Dreger MD (1), Felix Kolzarek MSc (2), Dagmar Kettemann MD (1), Jenny Norden MD (1), Matthias Boentert MD (9), Maximilian Vidovic MD (6), Christian Meisel MD (10,11), Christoph Münch MD (1,2), André Maier\* MD (1), Péter Körtvélyessy\* MD (1,12)

- Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Department of Neurology, Center for ALS and other Motor Neuron Disorders, Berlin, Germany
- 2) Ambulanzpartner Soziotechnologie APST GmbH, Berlin, Germany
- Bonn University, Department for Neurodegenerative Disorders and Gerontopsychiatry, Bonn, Germany
- DZNE, Deutsches Zentrum f
  ür Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen, Research Site Bonn, Germany
- 5) Hannover Medical School, Department of Neurology, Hannover, Germany
- Technische Universität Dresden, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Department of Neurology, Dresden, Germany
- DZNE, Deutsches Zentrum f
  ür Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen, Research Site Dresden, Germany
- University Medicine Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim Center for Translational Medicine, Neurology Department, Division for Neurodegenerative

Diseases, Mannheim, Germany

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/mus.27818

- 9) Münster University Hospital, Department of Neurology, Münster, Germany
- 10) Labor Berlin Charité Vivantes GmbH, Department of Immunology, Berlin, Germany
- Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Berlin, Germany
- DZNE, Deutsches Zentrum f
  ür Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen, Research Site Magdeburg, Germany

\*Contributed equally

rtic

#### Statement of financial disclosure and conflict of interest:

TM is on the advisory board of Biogen and has received consulting fees from Biogen. PK received consulting fees from Biogen. RG has received grants, personal fees, non-financial support and research support from Biogen and serving on the advisory board of Biogen, outside of the submitted work. SP has participated in advisory boards of Biogen and has received consulting fees from Biogen. TM and CM are founders and shareholders of the Ambulanzpartner Soziotechnologie APST GmbH, which makes the internet platform Ambulanzpartner and the mobile application "ALS-App". APST received a research grant from Biogen.

We, the authors, confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. Thomas Meyer Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin Center for ALS and other Motor Neuron Disorders Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany Phone: +49 1773224513

# Mail: thomas.meyer@charite.de

Word count: 1.485

Abstract: 250 words

Tables/Illustrations: 2 tables / 1 figure

References: 19

### Abstract

Artic

Introduction/Aims: In amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) caused by superoxide dismutase 1 (*SOD1*) gene mutations (SOD1-ALS), the antisense oligonucleotide tofersen had been investigated in a phase 3 study (VALOR) and subsequently introduced in an expanded access program. This study assesses neurofilament light chain (NfL) before and during tofersen treatment.

Methods: In six SOD1-ALS patients treated with tofersen at three specialized ALS centers in Germany, NfL in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF-NfL) and/or serum (sNfL), the ALS Functional Rating Scale–Revised (ALSFRS-R), and ALS progression rate (ALS-PR), defined by monthly decline of ALSFRS-R, were investigated.

Results: Three of six SOD1-ALS patients reported a negative family history. Three patients harbored a homozygous c.272A>C, p.(Asp91Ala) mutation. These and two other patients showed slower progressing ALS (defined by ALS-PR <0.9) whereas one patient demonstrated rapidly progressing ALS (ALS-PR=2.66). Mean treatment duration was 6.5 months (range 5-8). In all patients, NfL decreased (mean CSF-NfL -66%, range -52 to -86%, mean sNfL -62%, range -36 to -84%). sNfL at 5 months of tofersen was significantly reduced compared to the measurement closest before treatment (p=0.017). ALS-PR decreased in two patients whereas no changes in ALSFRS-R were observed in four participants who had very low ALS-PR or ALSFRS-R values before treatment.

Discussion: In this case series, the significant NfL decline following tofersen treatment confirmed its value as response biomarker in an expanded clinical spectrum of SOD1-ALS. Given the previously reported strong correlation between sNfL and ALS progression, the NfL treatment response contributes to the notion of disease-modifying activity of tofersen.

Keywords: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Tofersen, neurofilament light chain (NfL)

### INTRODUCTION

rtic

In approximately 2% of people with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), disease-causative, toxic gain-of-function mutations in the gene encoding superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) are found.<sup>1,2</sup> Tofersen is an intrathecally administered antisense oligonucleotide degrading the SOD1-messenger RNA and reducing SOD1 protein levels.<sup>3,4</sup> Recently, tofersen has been investigated in a phase 3 trial (VALOR study) that yielded a complex outcome, as the primary endpoint of Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R) did not reveal statistical significance while trends favored tofersen across secondary endpoints, including neurofilament light chain (NfL).<sup>5,6,7</sup> These early reductions in NfL – as observed in VALOR – preceded the further slowing of ALSFRS-R decline during the open-label extension study.<sup>5</sup> The effect of tofersen on NfL was of particular relevance as NfL is an established prognostic biomarker of ALS for which a close correlation between NfL, ALS progression and survival has been demonstrated.<sup>8-12</sup> Based on the positive signals from the phase 3 trial, an expanded access program (EAP) was started in which tofersen is provided to ALS patients with SOD1 gene mutations (SOD1-ALS) outside of clinical trials. In Germany, the EAP was authorized in February 2022. Prior to the tofersen EAP, a large-scale multicenter NfL study was initiated, in which longitudinal clinical and serum NfL (sNfL) data are collected. This combination of the EAP with the ongoing NfL study provided a window of opportunity to analyze the clinical and biomarker course before and during tofersen treatment.

### **METHODS**

### Study design

This study is a secondary use of existing data. The investigation was reported according to the STROBE criteria.<sup>13</sup>

### Studied cohort

Data analysis was performed in patients fulfilling three selection main criteria: 1) diagnosis of ALS, 2) harboring an *SOD1* gene mutation, 3) treatment with tofersen for at least 5 months.

## Setting

### Access to existing data

Patients at 3 multidisciplinary ALS centers in Germany (Berlin, Bonn, and Hannover) were identified. Data on clinical characteristics and NfL were obtained from the "NfL-ALS" study. *SOD1* mutation status was assessed in the "ID-ALS" study. Data on demographics, ALSFRS-R, and medication data, including tofersen treatment, were derived from the "APST registry study".

### Data collection

11C

Demographic, clinical, and NfL data were captured up to 12 months before tofersen therapy and during the treatment. ALSFRS-R data were assessed by self-rating either on a printed form or using the "ALS-App".<sup>14</sup> Data were collected between October 2021 and November 2022.

### NfL analysis

Serum NfL (sNfL) concentrations were analyzed in a core facility at the ALS center in Berlin. sNfL was measured by means of the single molecule analysis technology (SIMOA) using the commercially available NfL advantage kit (Quanterix Inc., USA). Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) NfL concentrations (CSF-NfL) were measured at the Labor Berlin – Charité Vivantes GmbH using the NF-light ELISA (UmanDiagnostics, Sweden)

#### **Protocol approvals and registrations**

The study protocols were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### Variables

rtic

#### Clinical characteristics

Demographic data, SOD1 mutation details and clinical characteristics were collected.

### Functional deficit as measured by the ALSFRS-R

The ALSFRS-R is a 12-item disease-specific instrument that measures bulbar, gross, and fine motor functions and respiratory symptoms.<sup>15,16</sup>

#### ALS progression rate (ALS-PR)

ALS-PR was calculated using the following formula: (48-ALSFRS-R divided by disease duration in months).<sup>17</sup> Two classifications of ALS-PR were applied. In ALS-PR classification 1, patients with slower (<0.5 ALSFRS-R/month), intermediate ( $\geq$ 0.5 and  $\leq$ 1.0 ALSFRS-R/month) and faster (> 1.0 ALSFRS-R/month) progression were differentiated.<sup>17</sup> In ALS-PR classification 2, a distinction between faster progressing ALS ( $\geq$ 0.9 ALSFRS-R/month) and slower progressing ALS (<0.9 ALSFRS-R/month) was made.<sup>5</sup>

#### sNfL and CSF-NfL

sNfL and CSF-NfL concentrations were analyzed as described and referred to the time of sampling.

#### Tofersen treatment

Treatment with tofersen was assessed as the number of months receiving tofersen.

### Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics were used (frequency in percent, mean, median, and ranges) and statistical analyses were performed by Statplus version 7.7.11 (Brandon, FL) and GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0 (Boston, MA). Mann-Whitney U test and repeated measures ANOVA with Greisser-Greenhouse correction were applied. Significant levels are defined as  $p \le 0.05$ .

### RESULTS

rtic

#### Patient cohort and clinical characteristics

Six SOD1-ALS patients with 3 unique *SOD1* mutations were investigated. Three of these six patients reported a negative family history. Clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1 and Supplement 1. The mean tofersen treatment duration was 6.5 months (range 5-8 months).

### ALSFRS-R before and during tofersen treatment

Five patients showed high functional status at the time of treatment initiation, while one patient showed profound loss of motor function. Results are summarized in Table 2.

### ALS-PR before and during tofersen treatment

ALS-PR before tofersen treatment was slow in four patients (range 0.05-0.27), intermediate in one patient (0.8), and fast in another case (2.66)(Table 1). Other results are summarized in Table 2.

### sNfL and CSF-NfL before and during tofersen treatment

In all patients, CSF-NfL ( $\Delta$ CSF-NfL: -66% [range -52 to -86], p= 0.028) and sNfL ( $\Delta$ sNfL: -62% [range -36 to -84]; p=0.049) significantly decreased during tofersen treatment compared to baseline. Repeated measures ANOVA also showed significant results (sNfL p=0.047). Results are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 1.

### DISCUSSION

Here, we report an exploratory analysis of NfL in six SOD1-ALS patients treated with tofersen – as part of an EAP. In fact, all patients showed a significant NfL decrease in response to tofersen therapy. Thus, the principle finding of NfL responsiveness – as previously demonstrated in the VALOR and open label extension study – was reproduced.<sup>5</sup>

Furthermore, our study has expanded the treatment experience with tofersen as five of the six EAP patients showed clinical characteristics that were not included or less frequent in the VALOR study: One patient underwent invasive ventilation and had near-total loss of motor function (ALSFRS-R=1) – a functional deficit not reported in VALOR (minimum ALSFRS-R=15).<sup>5</sup> Three patients harbored a homozygous Asp91Ala mutation, which was rarely included in the VALOR study (n=2, 1.9%).<sup>5</sup> Two patients showed a very slow progression (ALS-PR before treatment of 0.05 and 0.06, respectively, Table 1) that was well below the mean ALSFRS-R slope of the VALOR slower progression subgroup ( $-0.30\pm0.20$ ).<sup>5</sup> Despite the different clinical and genetic characteristics of the treated patients, the decrease in NfL was a common feature of tofersen therapy. Also, the extent of NfL reduction was comparable to the VALOR data.<sup>5</sup> This finding underscores the feasibility of NfL as a therapeutic biomarker in an expanded clinical spectrum of SOD1-ALS – including very slow progression or severe motor deficits.

Four of the six patients were treated for at least 7 months and thus correspond to the placebo-controlled phase of the VALOR trial (28 weeks).<sup>5</sup> Despite this, the findings of this study must be considered in the context of their limitations. With six patients and the short treatment time, the scope of this study was limited. In particular, in patients with slow ALS progression, a longer observation time is essential to establish the correlation between the clinical and biomarker treatment response. Given the pathophysiological role of NfL as an indicator of neuroaxonal damage and the strong correlation between NfL and ALS progression, the prognostic significance of NfL reduction can be assumed but requires confirmation by further research. More specifically, a continued investigation of our cohort as well as the inclusion of additional patients will clarify the latency and effect size in which NfL response converges with clinical outcome including ALSFRS-R.

The ALSFRS-R was the primary endpoint in the VALOR trial and was also of interest in this case series. Two patients (#3, #5) showed a reduction of ALS-PR. Although any individual

**Nrtic** Accepte

case data must be treated with caution, these EAP participants showed that a reduction in NfL was associated with a stabilization of the clinical course. Three of the six patients showed such a slow progression rate that – given the short duration of therapy – no change in ALSFRS-R could be expected. Furthermore, in one patient (#6), the ALSFRS-R (total score=1) became uninformative due to the floor effect of ALSFRS-R.<sup>18</sup> With a longer duration of treatment with tofersen, it will be of major interest whether patients with large deficits also show a stabilization of the disease or even a return of certain motor functions. In patients with severe deficits or slow progression, the assessment of patient-reported outcomes (PROs) will be of particular importance, which allows a systemic detection of apparently minor changes that are not reflected in the ALSFRS-R but may have a high functional meaning at the individual level. Thus, systematic analysis of PROs in patients with spinal muscular atrophy and nusinersen treatment has shown a response to therapy in addition to and beyond clinical functional scores.<sup>19</sup>

Overall, the NfL data correspond with the VALOR results and underscore the role of NfL as an early treatment response marker in ALS. Furthermore, the presented data support the disease-modifying activity of tofersen in SOD1-ALS. The expansion of the observation in terms of treated *SOD1* mutations, treatment duration, the number of included patients, and by that means of ALSFRS-R endpoint data, as well as the exploration of PROs, will provide additional information on the efficacy of tofersen in SOD1-ALS. TM is on the advisory board of Biogen and has received consulting fees from Biogen. PK received consulting fees from Biogen. RG has received grants, personal fees, non-financial support and research support from Biogen and serving on the advisory board of Biogen, outside of the submitted work. SP has participated in advisory boards of Biogen and has received consulting fees from Biogen. TM and CM are founders and shareholders of the Ambulanzpartner Soziotechnologie APST GmbH, which makes the internet platform Ambulanzpartner and the mobile application "ALS-App". APST received a research grant from Biogen.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Boris Canessa ALS Stiftung (Düsseldorf, Germany) and Martin Herrenknecht Fonds for ALS Research for funding this work and continuous support.

### Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Boris Canessa ALS Stiftung and Martin Herrenknecht Fonds for ALS Research (Stifterverband für die Deutsche Wissenschaft e.V., project H401 7703 5132 37604).

## List of abbreviations

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

ALSFRS-R, ALS Functional Rating Scale-Revised

ALS-PR, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis progression rate

CSF-NfL, CSF neurofilament light chain

EAP, expanded access program

Accepted Artic NfL, neurofilament light chain

sNfL, serum neurofilament light chain

PEG, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy

PRO, patient-reported outcomes

SOD1, superoxide dismutase 1

SOD1-ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis caused by superoxide dismutase 1 gene mutations

TIV, tracheostomy invasive ventilation

### References

rticl

jàna -

1. Rosen, D, Siddique T, Patterson D et al. Mutations in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase gene are associated with familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nature*. 1993;362(6415),59-62.

2. Müller K, Brenner D, Weydt P, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the mutation spectrum in 301 German ALS families. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2018;89(8):817-827.

3. Bunton-Stasyshyn RK, Saccon RA, Fratta P, Fisher EM. SOD1 Function and Its Implications for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Pathology: New and Renascent Themes. *Neuroscientist.* 2015;21(5):519-29.

4. McCampbell A, Cole T, Wegener AJ, et al. Antisense oligonucleotides extend survival and reverse decrement in muscle response in ALS models. *J Clin Invest.* 2018;128(8):3558-3567.

5. Miller TM, Cudkowicz ME, Genge A, et al. Trial of Antisense Oligonucleotide Tofersen for SOD1 ALS. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;387(12):1099-1110.

6. Miller TM, Cudkowicz ME, Shaw PJ, et al. Phase 1-2 Trial of Antisense Oligonucleotide Tofersen for SOD1 ALS. *N Engl J Med. 2020*;383(2):109-119.

7. Hardiman O, van den Berg LH. The Beginning of Genomic Therapies for ALS. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(2):180-181.

8. Feneberg E, Oeckl P, Steinacker P, et al. Multicenter evaluation of neurofilaments in early symptom onset amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2018;90(1):e22-e30

 Steinacker P, Feneberg E, Weishaupt J, et al. Neurofilaments in the diagnosis of motoneuron diseases: a prospective study on 455 patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2016;87(1):12-20.

10. Thouvenot E, Demattei C, Lehmann S, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain at time of diagnosis is an independent prognostic factor of survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Eur J Neurol*. 2020;27(2):251-257.

11. Benatar M, Zhang L, Wang L, et al. Validation of serum neurofilaments as prognostic and potential pharmacodynamic biomarkers for ALS. *Neurology*. 2020;95(1):e59-e69.

12. Dreger M, Steinbach R, Gaur N, et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid Neurofilament Light Chain (NfL) Predicts Disease Aggressiveness in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: An Application of the D50 Disease Progression Model. *Front Neurosci.* 2021;15:651651.

doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.651651.

rtic

13. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9596):1453-1457.

14. Meyer T, Spittel S, Grehl T, et al. Remote digital assessment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis functional rating scale - a multicenter observational study. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener.* 2022:1-10. doi: 10.1080/21678421.2022.2104649.

15. Cedarbaum JM, Stambler N, Malta E, et al. The ALSFRS-R: a revised ALS functional rating scale that incorporates assessments of respiratory function. BDNF ALS Study Group (Phase III). *J Neurol Sci.* 1999;169(1-2):13-21.

16. Maier, A., Boentert, M., Reilich P. et al. ALSFRS-R-SE: an adapted, annotated, and selfexplanatory version of the revised amyotrophic lateral sclerosis functional rating scale. *Neurol Res Pract.* 2022;4(1):60.

17. Kimura F, Fujimura C, Ishida S, et al. Progression rate of ALSFRS-R at time of diagnosis predicts survival time in ALS. *Neurology*. 2006;66(2):265-267.

18. Wicks P, Massagli MP, Wolf C, Heywood J. Measuring function in advanced ALS: validation of ALSFRS-EX extension items. *Eur J Neurol*. 2009;16(3):353-359.

19. Meyer T, Maier A, Uzelac Z, et al. Treatment expectations and perception of therapy in adult patients with spinal muscular atrophy receiving nusinersen. *Eur J Neurol*. 2021;28(8):2582-2595.

# Table 1. Clinical and genetic characteristics

ID	Age (years)	M/F	Duration (months)	Family history	TIV	PEG	ALSFRS-R	ALS-PR	ALS-PR classification 1	ALS-PR classification 2	SOD1 mutation	Allele genotype
#1	59	F	45	negative	no	no	45	0.18	slow	slower progressing	c.272A>C, p.(Asp91Ala)	homozygous
#2	59	М	33	negative	no	no	46	0.06	slow	slower progressing	c.272A>C, p.(Asp91Ala)	homozygous
#3	49	F	22	negative	no	no	42	0.27	slow	slower progressing	c.272A>C, p.(Asp91Ala)	homozygous
#4	54	М	105	positive	no	no	43	0.05	slow	slower progressing	c.346C>G/p.(Arg116Gly)	heterozygous
#5	60	F	16	positive	no	no	35	0.80	intermediate	slower progressing	c.346C>G, p.(Arg116Gly)	heterozygous
#6	39	F	18	positive	yes	yes	1	2.66	fast	faster progressing	c.396_399dup, p.(Glu134*)	heterozygous

M, male, F, female; duration, disease duration; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFRS-R, ALS functional rating scale revised; ALS-PR, ALS progression rate before tofersen treatment; PEG, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; TIV, tracheostomy invasive ventilation.

# Table 2. Neurofilament light chain (NfL) and clinical course in SOD1-ALS patients

# before and during tofersen treatment

Para- meters	Patient-ID			#1					#2					#3		
	Duration (months)	42					32					22				
	Outcome	sNfL	NfL-CSF	ALS- FRS-R	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL	Nfl-CSF	ALS- FRS-R	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL	NfL-CSF	ALS- FRS-R	ALS-PR	SV
	-10	39.47				142										
	-7			}		127	1			1		1				
	-2	28.53		45	0.07		53.90	l i	44	0.13	104	83.77		42	0.30	
Disease	-1	59.68		{		157	1	1	46	0.06		1		43	0.24	
	0	61.59	2607	45	0.07	125	63.26	2965	46	0.06	85	107.01	2182	42	0.27	
	0.5	58.80	2557		0.07	120	37.20	2968	45	0.09	89	108.07	2864	41	0.12	1
		63.26	3094	43	0.12	135	107.88	1596	45	0.09	113	132.48	1400	42	0.26	- 1
course	1	23.32	5054		0.12	********				0.09						
(months)	2		1484	42	0.13	117 109	83.70	1977	45 44	()	108	66.03	1415	42	0.25	
	3	41.61		5			37.31	2187	· /• · • · • · • · • · • · •	0.11	131	24.14	1282	43	0.20	1
	4	20.20	1748	43	0.11	132	45.55	1527	44	0.11	114	42.09	1349	43	0.19	1
	5	32.98	1121	l		112	36.25	1298	44	0.11	111	28.06	934	43	0.19	1
	6	18.15	776	{		112	33.54	1370	44	0.11	89	17.57	1041	43	0.18	
	7	18.35	1235	42	0.12	122		958	44	0.10	107		577	43	0.17	1
	8			43	0.10											
Change		-70%	-53%	-2	0.05	-3	-47%	-68%	-2	0.04	22	-84%	-52%	1	-0.10	٤
	Patient-ID			#4					#5					#6		
Para-	Duration			#4					#5 16					#6 18		
Para- meters		sNfL	NfL-CSF	108 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL	NfL-CSF	16 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL	NfL-CSF	18 Als-	ALS-PR	SI
	Duration (months) Outcome	sNfL	NfL-CSF	108	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL	NfL-CSF	16	ALS-PR	SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
	Duration (months) Outcome	sNfL	NfL-CSF	108 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC		NfL-CSF	16 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC	sNfL 271	NfL-CSF 6765	18 Als-	ALS-PR 7.06	SI
	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7	sNfL	NfL-CSF	108 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC	s <b>N</b> fL 90.3	NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R		SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6	sNfL	NfL-CSF	108 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC		NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41	1.0	SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5		NfL-CSF	108 ALS- FRS-R				NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39	1.0 0.9	SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6	sNfL 87.20	NfL-CSF	108 Als-	ALS-PR	SVC 120		NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40	1.0 0.9 0.6	SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -3 -4		NIL-CSF	108 ALS- FRS-R			90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39	1.0 0.9	SVC			18 ALS- FRS-R		SI
meters	Duration (months) Outcome 9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -3 -4 -2			108 ALS- FRS-R 43	0.05	120		NFL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9	SVC	271	6765	18 ALS- FRS-R 21		SI
Disease	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -3 -4	87.20 177.41	17490	108 ALS- FRS-R		120 96	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40	1.0 0.9 0.6	SVC	271		18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06	SI
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome 9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -3 -4 -2	87.20		108 ALS- FRS-R 43	0.05	120	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9	SVC	271	6765	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06	SI
Disease	Duration (months) Outcome -7 -6 -5 -3 -4 -4 -2 -0	87.20 177.41	17490	108 ALS- FRS-R 43	0.05	120 96	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9	SVC	271	6765	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06	51
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -7 -6 -5 -3 -3 -4 -2 -2 0 0 0.5 1	87.20 177.41 177.39	17490 15607	108 ALS- FRS-R 43	0.05	120 96 116	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8	SVC	271 390 352	6765 6741 6717	18 ALS- FRS-R 21 1 1	7.06 3.71 3.71	51
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -5 -3 -4 -4 -2 0 0.5	87.20 177.41 177.39 92.35	17490 15607 13663	108 ALS- FRS-R 43 45	0.05	120 96 116 103	90.3	NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35 32	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.8	SVC	271 271 390 352 315	6765 6741 6717 5802	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06 3.71 3.71 3.44	SN
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -5 -3 -4 -2 0 -0 -5 -1 1 2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -	87.20 177.41 177.39 92.35 50.42	17490 15607 13663 4817	108 ALS- FRS-R 43 45 45	0.05	120 96 116 103 96	90.3	NfL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35 32 31	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9	SVC	271 390 352 315 284	6765 6741 6717 5802 4410 3018	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06 7.06 3.71 3.71 3.44 3.21	Sì
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -4 -2 -2 -0 0 0.5 1 2	87.20 177.41 177.39 92.35 50.42 51.15	17490 15607 13663 4817 4187	108 ALS- FRS-R 43 45 45 42	0.05	120 96 116 103 96 96	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35 32 31 33	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	SVC	271 390 352 315 284 252	6765 6741 6717 5802 4410	18 ALS- FRS-R 21 1 1 1 1	7.06 3.71 3.71 3.44 3.21 3.00	51
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome 9 -7 -6 -5 -5 -3 -4 -2 -0 0 -5 -1 -2 -0 0 -5 -1 -2 -3 -3 -4 -2 -2 -0 -5 -1 -4 -2 -3 -3 -4 -2 -2 -3 -3 -4 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	87.20 177.41 177.39 92.35 50.42 51.15 31.39	17490 15607 13663 4817 4187 2493	108 ALS- FRS-R 43 45 45 45 42 45	0.05	120 96 116 103 96 96 89	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35 35 32 31 33 32 32 32 32	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8	SVC	271 390 352 315 284 252 248	6765 6741 6741 6717 5802 4410 3018 3117	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06 7.06 3.71 3.71 3.71 3.71 3.44 3.21 3.00 2.82	S
meters Disease course	Duration (months) Outcome -9 -7 -6 -5 -3 -4 -2 0 0.5 1 2 0 0.5 1 2 3 3 4	87.20 177.41 177.39 92.35 50.42 51.15 31.39	17490 15607 13663 4817 4187 2493	108 ALS- FRS-R 43 45 45 45 42 45	0.05	120 96 116 103 96 96 89	90.3	NIL-CSF	16 ALS- FRS-R 41 39 40 36 35 35 32 31 33 32	1.0 0.9 0.6 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8	SVC	271 390 352 315 284 252 248	6765 6741 6741 6717 5802 4410 3018 3117	18 ALS- FRS-R 21	7.06 7.06 3.71 3.71 3.71 3.71 3.44 3.21 3.00 2.82	51

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFRS-R, ALS functional rating scale revised; ALS-PR, ALS progression rate; duration, disease duration in months; SVC, slow vital capacity as measured in percent of the predicted value (corrected for height, age, sex, and weight); sNfL, neurofilament light chain in serum in pg/ml; NfL-CSF, neurofilament light chain in CSF in pg/ml; \*Change, change from time M0 to the last measured value; M0, month of initiation of tofersen treatment; background colour: gray, values before treatment; blue, values during treatment; white, no measurements available

## Figure 1. Serum neurofilament light chain (sNfL) before and during treatment with

**tofersen. 1A** Individual slopes of sNfL concentration during ALS disease course (patients #1 to #5). **1B** Individual slope of sNfL concentration (patient #6). **1C** sNfL pre-treatment (M0; except #5 M-2) compared to on treatment (M5). M0, month of initiation of tofersen treatment; M-2, 2 months before tofersen treatment; M5, 5 months of tofersen treatment. Significance level is indicated as \*,  $p \le 0.05$ .

